	Page 1	
1	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 16TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	
	IN AND FOR MONROE COUNTY, FLORIDA	
2	COGNII, FLORIDA	
	CASE NO.: 2023-CA-000205-M	
3	2023 CA-000205-M	
	LAURIE SWANSON,	
4		
	Petitioner,	
5		
	vs.	
6		
	CITY OF KEY COLONY BEACH, FLORIDA,	
7	DIACH, FLORIDA,	
1	Respondent.	
8		
9		
10	CLOSED SESSION COMPANY	
11	CLOSED SESSION GOVERNMENT MEETING	
12		
13	Key Colons To	
	Key Colony Inn Restaurant & Lounge	
14	700 West Ocean Drive	
15	Key Colony Beach, Florida 33051	
16		
17		
18	Track along a	1
	Friday, August 18, 2023	
19	9:33 a.m 10:33 a.m.	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		Page 2
1	APPEARANCES	
2	ON BEHALF OF KEY COLONY BEACH, FLORIDA:	
	DIRK MATTHEW SMITS, ESQUIRE	
3	Vernis & Bowling of the Florida K.	
	81990 Overseas Highway	
4	Islamorada, FL 33036-3614	
	Office: 305-664-4675	
5	Email: Dsmits@florida-law.com	
6	CITY OF KEY COLONY BEACH COUNCIL:	
	Patricia Trefry - Mayor	
7	Beth Ramsay-Vickrey - Vice Mayor	
	Freddie Foster - Commissioner	
8	Tom Harding - Secretary/Treasurer	
	Dave Turner - City Administrator	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Page 3
1	(WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were
2	held as follows.
3	MR. SMITS: The court reporter is going to
4	transcribe everything. So, let's go around the table
5	and identify ourselves. So, in fact, let's get our
6	signs so it's easier for her to know who is speaking.
7	When this is being transcribed for the record, it's
8	tough for her to identify who's speaking, so it's a good
9	thing we have our signs today. Let's make sure she can
LO	see that.
11	MAYOR TREFRY: Patricia Trefry, Mayor.
L2	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Beth Ramsay-Vickrey,
L3	Vice Mayor.
L4	MR. FOSTER: Commissioner.
L5	MR. HARDING: Tom Harding,
16	Secretary/Treasurer.
L7	MR. TURNER: City Administrator.
8.	MR. SMITS: Dirk Smits, counsel for Key
L9	Colony Beach. Okay, so, this is everyone's first closed
20	session, I'm assuming?
21	MR. FOSTER: Yes.
22	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Yes.
23	MR. SMITS: Okay. So, it's my meeting.
24	It's going to last as long as I need advice. This is
25	just for my advice regarding the topics we discuss.

Page 4

Everyone's familiar with the lawsuit and what it's about. It's an injunction that has been filed to stay any action regarding the reconstruction of City Hall until such time as there's a referendum.

The petition for injunction does not meet the standards, in our opinion, for an injunction, basically just on procedural grounds. The other position that we believe is accurate is that under our code, it's inappropriate to challenge the regular business of city or a government by a referendum.

In other words, it's our legal opinion that if we were to go out, and I've said this to you several times, and tried to purchase a lawn mower and someone filed a referendum to prevent us from buying said lawn mower, we don't believe that's in the province of this code. We also get to interpret our own codes, so that is wide discretion in interpreting our code.

so, the petition for injunction, we have responded to it. I did file -- we did file -- my office did file an amended motion, because originally, we were asking for the alternative relief -- and I'm passing these out, these are public records, you can keep these. Madam court reporter, you can have one too.

THE REPORTER: Thank you.

MR. FOSTER: So, that supersedes this one?

	Page 5
1	MR. SMITS: Correct.
2	MR. FOSTER: Thank you.
3	MR. SMITS: In one minor way. The minor way
4	is, it was in the alternative, we had asked for an
5	evidentiary hearing. That would require more time
6	likely for the Judge to get us to a court hearing.
7	We're trying to get this done and over as soon as
8	possible.
9	So, in doing that, we've tried to expedite
10	this. That is our current strategy and that is where
11	we're at so far. If it's ruled upon the way we think,
12	then it should be over.
13	The expenses associated with it thus far are
14	probably \$5,000.00 or \$6,000.00, not far off from what I
15	understand was what our opponents paid. But it's going
16	to get to be more, and I can explain why. And first of
17	all, we have to discuss two things today, strategy and
18	costs and settlement.
19	So, the first thing I would say is, let's
20	talk about whether there's any interest on anyone's part
21	in pursuing something short of litigation at this point.
22	MR. FOSTER: For example?
23	MR. SMITS: I will give you some examples.
24	We could I don't believe this is the proper vehicle,
25	this petition. I think it's not proper at all. We

Page 6

could probably resolve, and I haven't spoken to anybody because I want to talk to all of you first.

The first suggestion that could be made, should we want to, would be to dismiss the lawsuit and conduct a straw poll. Because once again, this is not a binding referendum under our code. We do not believe that's the case, and we would not ever agree that this is a binding referendum unless the Court told us, because, well, we'll get to that in a second.

But I believe if we were to do a straw poll, that might be something that would be considered.

However, if it's a straw poll, which means it's just an opinion poll, I conducted one of these -- one of my clients, Mosquito Control, conducted one of these about genetic mosquitoes. You may recall that. That was a straw poll. If it came back negative, they may have thought differently about it. It came back overwhelmingly positive for the Oxitec Mosquito Control.

So, we could allow that to go forward.

Perhaps that would appease the masses, or whatever number of people, and end this. However, I would say that I would not recommend anything other than a straw poll, because I don't believe that we should ever allow ourselves to be bound on the regular business of the City by the referendum part of our code. It's not what

Page 7 it's for. It's not what the case law says. 1 So, is there any interest? This is not a 2 vote, by the way. I'm seeking your input individually. 3 And when I'm asking these questions, I'm only asking them in the context of, would this be something that you 5 would consider if it was available for me to bring to a 6 public meeting for debate and vote. Okay, so that's 7 what I'm saying. This is never going to be a vote. 8 When I'm asking you what you think, you're not voting. You're just telling me what you think. And if you want 10 to talk to each other, that's fine. So, anybody have 11 any interest in seeking a resolution with regard to 12 conducting a straw poll? 13 MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: No. 14 MR. FOSTER: Yes. 15 MR. SMITS: Okay, Beth, no. Did anyone else 16 17 have an opinion? MR. FOSTER: So, yes. 18 MR. SMITS: You would be interested in 19 seeing if that's an option. 20 MR. FOSTER: Right. 21 MR. HARDING: I wouldn't. I would not. 22 I quess I can state my reasons. If the straw poll came 23 back positive, there'd still be in our name --24 MR. SMITS: If the straw poll comes back 25

	Page 8
1	positive, you're not bound in any way.
2	MR. HARDING: Yeah, but my point is, we go
3	through the exercise and if it came back positive,
4	that's not adequate, right?
5	MR. SMITS: Right.
6	MR. HARDING: We need to do something else,
7	right, or if the votes weren't counted right or
8	something. And then if it comes back negative, it's
9	feedback, but it's really not binding.
10	MR. SMITS: It's not binding.
11	MR. HARDING: And I think what I'm seeing
12	out of this communication is, some of the residents
13	aren't aware of the state laws and a lot of the history
14	that was determined. So, you know, we, as commissioners
15	have read through that and make that decision, right?
16	So, I just don't think it's a win for anybody conducting
17	a straw poll.
18	MR. SMITS: Right, okay. Mayor Trefry any
19	interest in a straw poll?
20	MAYOR TREFRY: No.
21	MR. SMITS: Okay. So, putting that aside, I
22	don't think there's anything else available in terms of
23	anything that would be something that I could propose or
24	we could discuss between counsel. So, that's my
25	discussion on settlement for now. Obviously, if other

	Page 9
1	opportunities arise, I will call another closed session
2	and we will discuss it further. Yes?
3	MR. FOSTER: So, one other question. So,
4	you're talking about alternatives. When I read the
5	petition, the petition cites a section of the charter
6	that talks about a referendum which is currently
7	underway to, if I remember reading it correctly, it
8	challenges the ability for the commission to award the
9	contract to HOB. Is that essentially what it is?
10	MR. SMITS: Right. So, there's case law and
11	we've it's probably in it was in the original
12	motion that says, basically what you have referenda for
13	is changing policy, changing ordinances, charter
14	reviews. Are you still there with us, Patty?
15	MAYOR TREFRY: I am, yes.
16	MR. SMITS: Okay.
17	MR. TURNER: Yeah, it's just another call
18	coming in.
19	MR. SMITS: And believe me, I've read that
20	section of the code a lot.
21	MR. FOSTER: Yes, me, too.
22	MR. SMITS: I don't believe it's designed
23	for, intended for, or appropriate for. In fact, what I
24	would say is, even if it was written for that purpose,
25	that isn't something that is really within the power of

Page 10

us to delegate as elected, right? So, we can't just delegate everything to the whims of people that don't want to do what we want to do, you know, why have an elected, just have everything voted on, right? So, it kind of doesn't make policy sense to have that as an option.

So, they're challenging the award, which is business, not policy. So, the business of this thing is -- the business of this city is decided by the five people up there. So, and the staff brings information and recommendations. So, if this referendum brought to its logical -- brought to its absurd conclusion, it would be that everything we do is challengeable by referendum. That just doesn't make sense from a policy perspective.

So, I believe that's not the intention of the code. To the extent of the code is ambiguous, the law is very clear that we interpret our code. Go ahead. I'm sorry.

MR. FOSTER: And that makes sense now that you've explained it. That's why I want to hear it.

MR. SMITS: Sure.

MR. FOSTER: So, what I think they're asking for is -- they're not asking for a straw poll.

MR. SMITS: No.

Page 11

MR. FOSTER: What's being asked for is a regular vote, I guess, administrative either by Sylvia or Joyce.

MR. SMITS: Right. Joyce would assist our clerk and pretty much run the show. I've already spoken to Joyce several times.

MR. FOSTER: So, last I heard from Joyce, what I've read was that she would not participate in this. She doesn't -- they missed the window to do that.

MR. SMITS: So, so --

MR. FOSTER: That's what I understand.

MR. SMITS: So, it may just cost more because our code says that we have to do. In the event that -- and I'll explain it to you and then I'll tell you why it's not relevant.

MR. FOSTER: Okay. That's all I need.

MR. SMITS: Yeah. So, if we were to go forward and have this, which I don't think we'll ever be able to, but if we were to go forward and have this, Joyce Griffin has said she would do the validations of the petitions. In other words, she would determine the validity of each petition because she does that all the time. I think she said she charges 10 cents per validation, okay, so, that's that piece of it. So, yes, she could do the validation part.

Page 12

The election part she would help. I have known Joyce for many years, many elections. She will help Sylvia. She's not going to probably conduct it, but she will help Sylvia. Well, she may -- she will conduct it because she's a supervisor of elections.

However, what her point is, is she cannot produce mail-in ballots within the window of our code, which to -- which I'm unclear of, if she can hold the election within our code timeframe and exclude mailings. I don't know if she can do that. But she's the supervisor. So, if we have it and she doesn't do the mail-ins, she would have to do one or two things; say you can't have it, or say, you can have it, but you won't have mail-ins. All of that hopefully is completely irrelevant.

MR. FOSTER: Got it.

MR. SMITS: Because what I was focusing on is, this is something that should be challengeable by referendum. What might have been challengeable by referendum is, prior to the process, changing it to the point of, you know, we must have six bidders or we must have three bidders or you must, you know, you could change the code in that context, because that is something that is a rule, a law, a procedure, a measure, right, a measure that continues to affect everybody.

This is a transaction.

So, I believe very strongly in our position, since what I'm hearing is that we want to pursue it.

Let me just map that out for you. I'm pretty sure we're going to get a favorable ruling. You know, I can't ever say anything is 100%. But I'd give us a 90% on this and that's really a stretch for, let's say, 90%. But I feel very confident that this is not just procedurally an injunction. It shouldn't be -- it shouldn't be allowed for many reasons and I think everybody's read it or has just skimmed it. I know a lot of people thought it was just legalese but it's got to be.

So, anyway, I feel very confident about that. Now, there's other things that go with that, of course, appeals, appeals can happen, right? So, if we win, there could be an appeal that we do, I think, as a city, a public, a government, I think we can get the court system to move faster than it normally would.

Because the issue underlying the whole thing, and I think I've spoken to each and every one of you about this, since we're financing a portion of the project, I will have to sign something on behalf of the City saying, as the lawyer, I know of no pending issues legally that would potentially affect the building of this building.

	Page 14
1	MR. FOSTER: When you say you need to sign
2	something, that's for the loan?
3	MR. SMITS: For the loan.
4	MR. FOSTER: Okay.
5	MR. SMITS: Because banks do not loan money
6	when there's even a shred of a doubt that there is a
7	potential legal thing that could undo the project.
8	MR. HARDING: So, can I
9	MR. SMITS: Please.
10	MR. HARDING: expand a little bit on
11	that? So, first on the appeals, where would the appeal
12	go to? This goes to Monroe County.
13	MR. SMITS: Right.
14	MR. HARDING: So, the appeal goes to?
15	MR. SMITS: The Third District Court of
16	Appeal in Miami.
17	MR. FOSTER: Is that Mark Jones?
18	MR. SMITS: We have Mark Jones underlying.
19	The panels are assigned at random up in Dade at the
20	third DCA.
21	MR. HARDING: And if that appeal, Miami
22	Third Court
23	MR. SMITS: Third DCA, yeah.
24	MR. HARDING: If they agreed that it should
25	be declined as improper use, is there a next level of

Page 15 appeal? 1 MR. SMITS: There is. But that would be a 2 petition to the Supreme Court of Florida. 3 MR. HARDING: Okay, all right. 4 MR. SMITS: They wouldn't entertain this for 5 a minute, and I can tell you that I would send a motion 6 up there to seek an expedited ruling. 7 MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: I have a question when 8 you're done. 9 MR. SMITS: Okay. 10 MR. HARDING: So, we go through two steps of 11 appeal and --12 MR. SMITS: Arguably. The Supreme Court 13 wouldn't hear the --14 MR. HARDING: -- the last step would be the 15 Supreme Court and that would --16 MR. SMITS: I mean, I would tell you that 17 we'll get a -- we would probably get a per curiam 18 affirmed out of the Third DCA. In order to go to the 19 Supreme Court, the Third DCA has to write an opinion 20 because the Supreme Court is not going to hear anything 21 unless there's a conflict between appellate courts. 22 So, if there's a Fourth District Court of 23 Appeal decision that says something different and a 24 Third District Court of Appeal's decision that says 25

Page 16

whatever they say, then the Supreme Court can entertain it. They have discretionary jurisdiction in conflicts, okay? They have absolute jurisdiction and certain things like bond validations, and I can mention that later.

So, if it was to get to the Third DCA and we got what's called a "per curiam affirmed," which means, the Judge is right, this is silly, go away. And they do that on meritorious stuff. This one, I think we get a PCA in about a day or two, or maybe a week, but anyway.

So, that's what I think the appellate process would involve. So, if we got it dismissed, I would first seek and ask the court to rule that this is not the proper vehicle to challenge the regular business of government. If I can get him to write that in an order, then the 30 days goes by for an appeal and we're done, okay? So, now it's 30 days for an appeal. Then I seek an expedited hearing on the appeal and I would hope the Third DCA would move quickly.

All of this is important because I don't know how long our contractor will hold his price. Dave and I spoke to him and he's a very nice guy, very good guy. He says he'll hold as long as he can. He will let us know when it gets tight, which is surprising to me because with the changing and expense of costs of

Page 17

materials as rapid as it is, I don't know that that's something that hopefully he can continue to do.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: That follows up my question, then. Given that these people are, you know, interfering with our city businesses, and we may have damages losing the contract bid and costs incurred in this, particularly if they turn around and then appeal it and drag this out longer for us and incur these damages, what kind of legal fees and damages can we in turn go back on them for?

MR. SMITS: So, that is a consideration.

Obviously, I think there are potential claims. If it were to go further than this hearing --

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Yes.

MR. SMITS: Actually, if they go to this hearing and it's like that, then I could ask the Judge, under 57.105, to give us fees for this, because it's a frivolous lawsuit and it's the public's -- you know, and Jones might entertain it. It's not easy to, you know, when you mess with the government, you're messing with everybody's money.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Right.

MR. SMITS: So, you know, we come into it with a presumption of correctness. We come into it interpreting our code. We come into it with the logical

Page 18

argument, how could this logically apply? I think, and then if I say to Jones, which I would clearly say, is that, you know, this is putting a 8.3 million dollar project on hold, which will cost the city more money if it continues to do so.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Yes.

MR. SMITS: And so in that event, I think the court will consider whether to sanction if it's frivolous, I believe it's frivolous because you can't do this. But I don't know. Again, that isn't something that I really haven't looked at hard but the potential is there. The potential is also there for a -- should the entire project get tanked --

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: That's what I'm worried about.

MR. SMITS: -- there's probably something there, too, that we could seek redress. At that point, I haven't explored it. I'm just saying that might be. I am not looking at those avenues now because my goal is to get this over with, but I will make sure that I review that. And if it becomes a tool in the toolbox, I certainly will bring it up to opposing counsel. I'm not going to threaten anything. I'm just going to know if we can do it, okay?

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: It will be great to

Page 19

stop it here. But it will be nice to know that if they continue to drag it, that we have --

MR. SMITS: Right. In the conversations that I've had with opposing counsel, I think maybe only one, it appears that there's no -- meaning there's nothing but victory on the horizon, win or no wins. So, that's what I'm saying is that, you know, I propose it to try and resolve it quickly so we can get the building underway.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Yes.

MR. SMITS: But if the opposing side has no desire to do anything but win, we've got to go forward or scrap the project.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Correct.

MR. SMITS: I don't think anybody wants to scrap the project. I don't know that that's -- but that's not really even a consideration at this point because it's a voted thing.

I will say tangentially, we haven't given a notice of award for the contract, although both are drafted, because at this point, an awarded bid is not something that rises to the level of the contractor being able to pursue us for any kind of obligation, okay, so, we are without downside thus far to the contract. The only danger with the contract is they can

Page 20

1 walk.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Right.

MR. SMITS: Okay. So --

MR. HARDING: And the one question I have on that. So, they sent in a bid and said it's good for 120 days and they signed that. So, without us awarding them the bid, they can walk at any time, or do they have to --

MR. SMITS: Whatever the bid said, whatever the bids said how long. Now, we had a conversation and the president, I guess --

MR. TURNER: The owner/president.

MR. SMITS: The owner/president of HOB has indicated he will hang on as long as he can. He does want to do the project. He has avoided or not sought other projects because he had this one. So, he, you know, arguably has some kind of damages himself, although in our bid, it clearly says that we don't have any obligations until we are given the contract.

MR. HARDING: So, the reason I'm asking that is, if you look at his date, or the date we agreed on, 120 days, that if everything moves smoothly in the process you're talking about, we should have some direction back by then.

So, if we just hang on and wait, we should

	Page 21
1	be able to meet the 120 days as long as it doesn't go
2	through excessive appeals.
3	MR. SMITS: Right, which will be relevant
4	when I ask the court for its rulings.
5	MR. FOSTER: How far from the 120 days are
6	we right now?
7	MR. HARDING: What I calculated was, it
8	would be 4th of October that this contract is good for.
9	And that's why I asked the question. If we haven't
10	awarded anything, can he still walk by the 4th of
11	October?
12	MR. SMITS: I'm sure that that but he
13	won't he can't keep it forever.
14	MR. FOSTER: But he can't walk before the
15	4th of October. It's binding right now?
16	MR. SMITS: That's a good question. I
17	haven't really delved into it, because my Dave and I
18	had this very frank conversation with him and he's not
19	going anywhere. He's going to give us a heads-up. If
20	he plans to go somewhere, with that said, he could call
21	us tomorrow and say look
22	MR. FOSTER: I've got another job and
23	MR. SMITS: I've got another job. I need
24	yours locked down in 10 days.
25	MR. FOSTER: Okay.

Page 22

MR. SMITS: And again, I can say, and I will say, I don't think that there's any merit to this, okay, but it's there. And therefore -- if we didn't need to borrow money, then this would be irrelevant.

MR. HARDING: Yeah. So, let me dig into that a little bit more. So, my projection when we'd have to borrow money would be nine or ten months after the contract is started. So, you know, what I can see as a commissioner, right, are you sure that when we start this project that we have agreement from the bank. We have a head nod, verbal, yes, I love you, that kind of a thing, right? But, as we both talked about with the bank, is they probably really don't want to give us a letter saying that until this is cleared up.

MR. SMITS: I did speak with bond counsel.

MR. HARDING: Okay.

MR. SMITS: And we talked about it briefly.

He has recently reached out to me. I haven't called him back because I wanted to have this discussion, frankly, beforehand, and I really don't want to put him in a position of saying this, this is maybe a little wavy for the bank and we'd have an issue down the road.

But I have worked with -- his firm is

Holland and Knight. I think his last name is Weinberg.

Michael Weinstein, I think his name is, anyway Michael.

Page 23
And I've worked with him in the past on other bond
issues. Really, really smart guy, really good guy. And
we did discuss, you know, what I would have to say on
behalf of the city and on my letterhead, I had to sign
millions of dollars of stuff on my letterhead, scary
amounts. So, but with the obvious pending stuff, it
would be just a lie to sign that, right, so
MR. HARDING: No, no, my point is
MR. SMITS: Oh, going back to your point
MR. HARDING: We really don't need a loan
for nine or ten months after the building goes down.
MR. SMITS: Right. So, my understanding is,
and I will reconfirm this, but I think you have to have
the money secured before you can start the project.
MR. HARDING: Okay.
MR. SMITS: I don't think you can get into
something and not have the money secured, because if you
don't have the money secured and you get down the road
and you can't finish or pay for it, that's a huge mess.
MR. FOSTER: Especially government
contracts.
MR. SMITS: Right. So, I believe it's in
and again, as much of these construction cases I've

MR. HARDING: Okay. Yeah, I think --

done, I'm pretty sure you've got to, as a matter of law.

	Page 24
1	MR. SMITS: But as a matter of practicality,
2	you know, you don't want to ever find yourself in such a
3	situation. I mean, that's governor intervention stuff.
4	MR. HARDING: Yeah, I understand that. I
5	just would want to make sure we understand the rules of
6	it.
7	MR. SMITS: I mean, if you could, you know,
8	arguably, take all your reserves and plow them into the
9	project and pledge that to your
10	MR. HARDING: I guess, the thought with that
11	though is the strategy from the group that filed this.
12	So, let's say the appeals court says no, we don't agree
13	with this but the next day they file something else.
14	MR. SMITS: Well, no, there's finality. And
15	I would no, if this if I get my rulings the way I
16	want from Judge Jones and they appeal that and that goes
17	down the tubes, there's no more bringing this. It's
18	called "collateral estoppel res judicata."
19	MR. HARDING: Yes. So, is there another
20	avenue that they could pursue?
21	MR. SMITS: At that point
22	MR. HARDING: A historical structure
23	MR. SMITS: At that point I mean, I'd be
24	speculating. If after this, it fails?
25	MR. HARDING: Yes.

Page 25

1	MR. SMITS: I think there's going to be some
2	serious consequences if they try it again, because that
3	would be absolutely frivolous at that point in time.
4	The way they attacked it could have been better thought
5	out. But again, if this attack fails, and another one
6	comes and it would be back to Jones, and he would say, I
7	already told you this was not going to happen, he would
8	be very, very inclined, in my opinion, based upon
9	practicing in front of him for over 20 years, he would
10	be very inclined to take matters into a higher level, I
11	believe.
12	MR. HARDING: And I think with that thank
13	you for that I think, with that, then the city, and
14	I'd lean to Dave to keep this as a tracking file, what
15	is the cost effect to us, right? So, let's say that
16	happens and we go through nine or ten months of this,
17	and HOB leaves and we have to re-quote and the bid is
18	for four million dollars more and the interest rates go
19	up, you know
19 20	up, you know MR. SMITS: That's when I would argue that

MR. HARDING: Right.

MR. SMITS: Now, in considering what your remedy is, you have to also consider the likelihood of

23

24

Page 26 collection. I mean, things like that are also relevant. 1 But again, there are certain things, such as what you 2 call "tortious interference with a business 3 relationship," is just one off the top of my head. Again, I want to make it clear, I haven't explored any 5 of this --6 MR. HARDING: Right. 7 MR. SMITS: But obviously at some point in 8 time, that consideration is definitely one that would be 9 relevant. You know, this is not how government is 10 supposed to work. This is not how it's supposed to 11 work, you know. I think our code may need some changing 12 because of what we're doing right now. 13 MR. FOSTER: Yes, it's very confusing when 14 you read it. 15 MR. SMITS: Well, it was drafted decades 16 ago. So, anyway, that's my strategy. Now the bad news, 17 because the strategy has to tie in with what it's going 18 I do believe we're probably about -- I said 19 to cost. earlier how much we're into it right now. If we go 20 past -- if, for some reason, we didn't prevail on this 21 and it had to be dragged out, it's almost -- it's a 22 function of, at what point does the contractor walk, 23

So, if we get to the point in the litigation

right?

24

where the contractor walks, then you know, then you've got two things. Then you've got to rebid and you have to decide what you want to do about the increased costs between what we had and what we'll ultimately get, and if there is a remedy there.

Okay. So, the cost is something that is right in front of us, an appeal, maybe up to 20, maybe up to 20. And it's always a give or take, you know, 20 is a very round number. Following that, were there some kind of amended petition filed or declaratory relief action filed when we reject the petition because it doesn't conform with code.

Again, we're obligated to provide the petition. There's no question we had to give them a form. So, we gave them a form. Didn't say what type of form, didn't say what it has to say in it. They didn't like the first form. We bent over and gave them a different form. It doesn't matter what form they have. This isn't something, I believe, that should be able to be challenged in a referendum.

So -- but if they do try to amend and seek a declaratory relief that says, well, now you've rejected my petition, based on the injunction going away, I'm going to now sue you for declaratory relief. At that point, I think, you're now looking at, you know, another

Page 28 \$40,000.00. 1 And again, the caveat there is, how long is our contractor going to stick around? How long is our 3 financing going to stick around? And again, when you 4 get to the bottom line, if it's blown up, if it's blown 5 up -- and again, I think we're right. But if it's blown 6 up, there is a measurable amount of damage to seek. 7 MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: And that's when we 8 9 can --MR. SMITS: I'm not -- that's not where I'm 10 I haven't researched it, but the damage amount headed. 11 will be there. And whether we will seek to recoup that 12 is a whole another discussion. 13 MR. HARDING: So, the question I'd have is, 14 you know, so, the other side is, we spent about the 15 same, so when we go up to \$20,000.00, what's the other 16 side's cost, equivalent? 17 MR. SMITS: No, more. 18 MR. HARDING: More, okay. All right, so 19 they're going to --20 MR. SMITS: Believe it or not, your rate is 21 about probably half --22 MR. HARDING: Right, yeah. 23 MR. SMITS: -- in my experience, actually 24

Veritext Legal Solutions

with that law firm and other law firms throughout the

305-376-8800

	Page 29
1	Keys.
2	MR. HARDING: Okay. So, a negative effect
3	for them also.
4	MR. SMITS: If you're thinking that at a
5	certain point in time, finances will become an issue
6	for which is part of this discussion. Yeah, I mean,
7	the city has the resources to defend much more than the
8	average person.
9	MR. HARDING: No, my point is, yeah, we
10	have the resources, they probably will run out of
11	resources or
12	MR. SMITS: Or maybe not.
13	MR. HARDING: And then the second thing is,
14	can I make this public knowledge?
15	MR. SMITS: No, because it's under the
16	let's put it this way. And I reaffirmed this yesterday.
17	What we're doing here is confidential.
18	MR. TURNER: That's what I understand, that
19	it stays here until it settles.
20	MR. SMITS: Stays here. Now, if we decide
21	that we want to say something, we will create that
22	something, and we will bring it, and we will decide
23	whether we can say it. I don't want to go ahead and
24	say, look, the financing is compromised, it's really a
25	waiting game, the longer they delay

	rage 30
1	MR. HARDING: Yeah, I understand.
2	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Yes.
3	MR. SMITS: And the obvious thing is, of
4	course, if we start saying how we'll bring up a lawsuit
5	to recover damages if it does get blown up.
6	I want to focus narrowly right now. I want
7	to focus narrowly on getting rid of this and getting an
8	opinion that gets appealed and quickly turned down.
9	That's my focus, and that's what would keep us in the
10	\$20,000 in my opinion.
11	MR. HARDING: So, a follow up question.
12	MR. SMITS: Yes, sir.
13	MR. HARDING: So, okay. So, you've given us
14	an estimate of what the bill is. Part of my
15	responsibility is to make sure we've got that funding,
16	right? So, let's just say four months down the road,
17	all those bills are in, and we paid those bills, and
18	they're on the financial sheet which Chen (ph) provides.
19	MR. SMITS: That's public record.
20	MR. HARDING: All right. So, once it comes
21	through Chen (ph), can I state
22	MR. SMITS: Anything that's a public record
23	that is obviously done for payment of lawyers, you can
24	say
25	MR. HARDING: Okay.

Page 31 MR. SMITS: -- our lawyer's costs regarding 1 this matter, and I will make sure that this is 2 segregated. I believe I opened a separate file for 3 Swanson. And I will make sure that I have if I haven't. 4 Again, we're only \$5,000.00 in right now. Only five 5 thousand -- but anyway, I will try and put that in 6 there. But I will definitely make sure there's a fresh 7 file open so that we track this particular expense with 8 particularity and accuracy, so that at the end of the 9 day, you can say, this particular lawsuit has cost us X. 10 That is public information, because my bills are public 11 information and the budget is public information. 12 MR. HARDING: Right. I'm sorry. 13 clarify, so, as we're going through the lawsuit, we're 14 still going through appeals and as bills are paid, and 15 Chen (ph) publicizes those in our financials, then I can 16 at least inform the public that we paid \$14,000.00 this 17 month to you for --18 MR. SMITS: For this lawsuit. 19 MR. HARDING: All right. So, at least that 20 21 way --MR. SMITS: Well, that's public record, yes. 22 MR. HARDING: -- some of the other public 23 will know the details rather than --24 MR. SMITS: And there's other stuff that is 25

Page 32

public record and we can go at a case by case basis. In other words, what's holding up your loan, you know, if there's some evidence of that, which I don't think there is because we haven't received anything from the lender, at least I haven't, other than conversations that I've had with the lender's attorney.

So, whatever is public information, and anybody that wants to say something in the public, let's make sure that we check in with me, because I do want to keep everything confidential. And I don't want to see -- I don't want to see people getting up at public meetings and spouting things that we've discussed here or on the phone separately. I don't want to see it in the newspaper. I don't want to see anybody walk up to me and say certain things that are only knowable by being in this room or talking to me.

So, I do emphasize, keep the attorney/client privilege sacred and let us go forward and do our job.

And if you want to say something out loud in the public, then run it by me. My general answer is going to be, less is always more, less is more.

MR. FOSTER: I prefer not to poke line items at the public. The public is already --

MR. SMITS: They're mad enough --

MR. FOSTER: So, if we want to poke the

	CONFIDENTIAL
	Page 33
1	bear, we can keep doing it. But I think if we're going
2	to keep everything private here, that's what you do.
3	MR. SMITS: No matter how anyone feels about
4	it, the ability to get inside one side's head is
5	extremely valuable. In other words, if I could climb in
6	my opposing counsel's head and figure out where their
7	issues are, that would be extremely valuable to me.
8	Like, if I knew something inside my opposing counsel's
9	head, like, they only have eight thousand more dollars.
10	If I knew that, go strong until they run out and then
11	we're done.
12	But I don't know that. There's a lot of
13	things that I don't know and they don't know, and we
14	want to keep that stuff that we're talking about amongst
15	the five of us. And I will have this transcript read by
16	Joey when he's available, so he can be aware of what
17	we're talking about. And then we can put it back under
18	seal with the clerk.
19	MR. HARDING: So, at 11:34 a.m. this morning
20	when the press calls one of us, what is your
21	recommendation or our response?
22	MR. SMITS: On the advice of counsel, it is
23	confidential and attorney/client privilege.
24	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Don't answer the phone.

MR. SMITS:

That's the standard answer.

	Page 34
1	That's what OJ said, for goodness sakes. I mean, come
2	on, everybody knows that answer.
3	MR. FOSTER: So, can we break this down a
4	little clearer? So, phase one looks like dismissed on
5	merit. That's five, six thousand.
6	MR. SMITS: I think the whole process, but
7	yeah, it depends how far it goes. But we're in five or
8	six thousand right now.
9	MR. FOSTER: Right now?
10	MR. SMITS: Yes.
11	MR. FOSTER: But if Jones dismisses on face
12	value, that's how much?
13	MR. SMITS: Well, depending on whether
14	there's an appeal, we would probably another three or
15	four thousand or maybe a little more, maybe another
16	five, to get through Jones and orders and back and
17	forth. So, that's another five, probably.
18	MR. FOSTER: So, if Jones doesn't dismiss it
19	on face value, that's phase two?
20	MR. SMITS: Right. That would be I'll
21	lay it out for you. Dismisses it without prejudice and
22	allowing them to bring a declaratory relief action to
23	validate their referendum, that's another thing they can
24	file, because if it's dismissed, unless it's dismissed
25	with prejudice, they can seek to amend, re-file, or

Page 35

whatever this lawsuit --

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: And we are asking to have it dismissed with prejudice --

MR. SMITS: I want it to be dismissed with prejudice and I want it with an opinion, because I want the opinion to say, this is not appropriate for challenge via referendum. And I'd like to see that in the order.

And I'll explain or I'm going to have my top -- one of my top litigators do this, Scott Black. He will go in and explain the seriousness of what's going on and why an opinion is important, because if there's an opinion that can be appealed to the Third DCA, if this is impossible to do, then the Third DCA PCA's it, we're done. And I don't think anybody would try and take a PCA up to the Supreme Court. That's almost, as a lawyer, quite a bad thing to do.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: And this is part of your -- you feel very confident. And you talked about earlier that we're going to get this part dismissed with prejudice and --

MR. SMITS: Well, I don't feel confident about anything. I feel very confident that we will get it dismissed.

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Okay.

MR. SMITS: I don't know that he'll give 1 them another crack at it. I don't know that he'll allow 2 them to amend it. If I can get -- and this is what I'm 3 saying is, if Judge Jones understands the importance of ruling on the basis that -- in opposition to their 5 position, that it's undoable, it's something that cannot 6 be done as a matter of law. If he gives us an opinion 7 stating that, right, they have 30 days to appeal it 8 unfortunately, right? 9

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Right.

MR. SMITS: But at the end of that 30 days, if there's no appeal -- and again, their strategy would be to file it on the 30th day. But we could always seek to ask OPS to, you know, give us more time, because again, it's their ability to walk, not ours. We can't go and award it to somebody else right now. It's them or nobody, right? So, we haven't given a contract but we can't give it to anybody else.

So, I have, I think again, I've covered settlement. I've covered strategy and how much it costs. And that's pretty much where I am at. I'm limited in this context. So, and any discussions you had here or anything you've said to me, are advice to me. Nothing here was a vote. I'm not counting heads, and nobody should be, because this is what it is.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Page 37
1	Anything that actually happens, you walk out of here,
2	you change your mind, that'll all happen on the dais,
3	right, in front of the public. This is educational for
4	me.
5	MR. HARDING: A few more questions.
6	MR. SMITS: Please.
7	MR. HARDING: To verify signatures through
8	the election office, how long do you expect that would
9	be?
10	MR. SMITS: That would turn around fairly
11	quickly. I think that we only have five or 10 days to
12	do it.
13	MR. HARDING: I guess, advice is, you know,
14	reading through this seems kind of silly, but I think we
15	should verify Laurie Swanson is an authorized elector.
16	MR. SMITS: Okay.
17	MR. HARDING: Just to get the lawsuit we
18	all think she is, but we should probably verify it. Can
19	you give an example of a policy that we approve that
20	could be brought to referendum?
21	MR. SMITS: Here's an easy one. We adopt a
22	resolution I'm trying to get us as far down the
23	chain, right? Easy ones are change your ordinance,
24	change your this, you know. We don't want know this or

that. The code, charter, all that is appropriate under

Page 38

our current code for consideration by referendum and some of this stuff is actually by law.

But we have certain things that are policy code, things that continue to affect people, such as, I would say arguably, you could go as far down the food chain to our schedule of fines and penalties. I think you can seek -- because that's a continuing thing that people would continue to be subjected to, I would say that that's arguably far more arguably something that's subject to challenge than the regular business of the city.

MR. HARDING: Thanks.

MR. FOSTER: So, if we passed an ordinance that said, you can't ride bicycles in the bike lane -- in the walking lane --

MR. SMITS: I think that's fair game.

MR. HARDING: For a \$1,000.00 fine?

MR. SMITS: Right. We're limited in how high we could go by law. But arguably, if everybody wanted to have the fines down to \$1.00, they could by referendum say, no fine in the city should be greater than \$1.00. That's the kind of thing that I think could happen, at least would possibly get to a vote, possibly. But you have to take each one as they come, you know. But yes, that's true. Are you -- because I feel like

Page 39

you may have other questions.

MR. HARDING: No. I guess the only thing I think, for strategy, that I want to give you a thought for, so, part of the financing is a State of Florida grant, which has a time limit, right? And so, let's say we go through this process for 18 months and we're still in trailers and we're still piling up legal bills, so, I'm assuming we could petition the state and say, can we delay that funding a year or something like that and these are the reasons and this is our documentation.

And then I think the other question is: Is there any validity of going to the state and asking for their support on the decision? So, the State Mitigation Group has offered us a grant. They've reviewed the drawings, they've approved the city hall, and they approved it a year ago. And here we are, let's say, 18 months from now, we're still arguing about this. Is there any opportunity that the state steps in through a state court?

MR. SMITS: Well, okay, so, the grant from the state is through what?

MR. HARDING: Through Hazard Mitigation --

MR. TURNER: FDM.

MR. SMITS: Okay, it's a state board.

MR. HARDING: State of Florida Mitigation.

Page 40

MR. SMITS: So, it's not a federal thing or anything like that?

MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: When did you say it expired?

MR. HARDING: 2026. So, what I've heard through a lot of mitigation meetings is that you can apply and delay that a year or so. You have to have obviously a very detailed documentation of if a contractor was late or material was late and this is the reason or, you know, if you're in a lawsuit, so we'd have to document all of that.

And I think there would be great success with delaying that a year with the documentation if you were going through a lawsuit. So, I think that's something that we probably just need to openly be aware of.

And then, I guess the other thing is, we have a city hall that's in trailers, and the state has approved the design and approved money to support us on that. And then they see we're going through litigation after litigation. Is there any governance for them to say, I think this is, you need some help?

MR. SMITS: Well, like I said, the state can pass, you know, you could approach Jim Mooney or whoever is there at the time, and say, look, this is absurd, we

	Page 41
1	have this nonsense in our code. It is haunting us,
2	right? The State can preempt us. Look at VR, right?
3	The State can take away and give, you know, so, you
4	know
5	MR. HARDING: Can you expand on that a
6	little bit more?
7	MR. SMITS: I mean, you know, the
8	legislature. They can do a lot of things.
9	MR. FOSTER: Just like vacation rentals.
10	MR. SMITS: Just like VR's. Nothing is
11	sacred when it comes to the state, really. Home rules
12	have been eroded terribly. I don't want to get too off-
13	track because of the scope of the thing we have here,
14	but you know, that's always something we can look for
15	help from the state or help from the legislature.
16	I will say this, in one instance with
17	mosquito control, I went through bond validation. And
18	the other suggestion was to go get legislation to say
19	that it was okay to do that. But it was timing-wise,
20	it was a better choice to go through bond validation,
21	which is a lawsuit in court that validates your
22	financing to say it doesn't violate the constitution.
23	So, yeah, there are vehicles, but I wouldn't
24	even go down that road, for, like I said, my plan is
25	and I think it's a good one. And I hope you all think

Page 42

it's a good one, is to push this as fast as possible to resolution, hopefully get an opinion out of Jones that is solid, you know, and with that opinion, at that point, the discussion could be, all right, I need you to now walk away, because at the time the appeal, if you make us wait this 30 days and jeopardize this, at that point in time, you may leave the city no choice but to pursue fees and damages and whatever.

You've got your ruling from the judge. If you think you're going to win on appeal, very doubtful. But talk to your lawyer. I mean, if I was that lawyer, I would have said -- I would have said, this is dead, okay, but I would certainly say, you got a decent shot or whatever.

Appealing an opinion from Judge Jones on this issue on something that doesn't make sense, challenging the day to day business of a city by referendum, it just doesn't make sense.

MR. FOSTER: So, can we talk timeline?

MR. SMITS: I have sought a hearing on this from the judge. We amended because we don't want an evidentiary hearing. We want to have a ruling as a matter of law. So, our original one said, "Motion to dismiss or in the alternative opposition." We've taken the opposition part out because once you have statement

2.0

Page 43

and an opposition, the judge is going to want to testimony. And that's like a trial, and that's going to be a lot more time, over an hour, possibly half a day, who knows? This I think we can do in 45 minutes.

The Court's time is precious, but he probably will jump us up and my next -- I'm going to see what's out there. And when I get the times, if they're very close, if the -- the Judge is actually reviewing this right now, because we asked for time to get it done. The Judge is reviewing it. I'm sure he'll note the seriousness of it. And he may, on his own, set it for next week, okay?

If it's not, on Monday, I'm going to write a letter, which I will copy to opposing counsel. I will let him know about it. That's the way we do things.

Dear Judge Jones, we have an eight million dollar project on the line, this is in the way. We would like an expedited hearing, please, can you advance us on your hearing docket.

He will probably say, you know what, these little discovery disputes over these various smaller cases, this has got to be heard first. I think Judge Jones will definitely -- or any Judge would definitely advance us on his calendar and make a special time for us to do it. So, I think that that's going to happen

	Page 44
1	quicker.
2	MR. FOSTER: So, about a week or two from
3	now?
4	MR. SMITS: I would hope within the next two
5	weeks.
6	MR. FOSTER: Okay. Then how long does it
7	take him to release a ruling? Will he do it that day or
8	will he
9	MR. SMITS: Well, he could, you know. I'll
10	tell you what I am going to do. I'm going to write an
11	opinion for him and give it to him, to edit as he sees
12	freely. But I'm going to suggest, may I send a proposed
13	order? And the proposed order I'm going to send is,
14	this is not possible to do via referendum pursuant to
15	state code.
16	If he adopts the order that I propose
17	now, if they're losing, they're just going to say,
18	here's an order saying "Dismissed," right, so that
19	doesn't preclude reopening. I think Judge Jones is
20	going to see what's really happening here and he's going
21	to say, I see we need to move this. And I'm going to
22	tell him we need to move this and I'm going to tell him
23	all the different things that caused us to have to move
24	this.
25	So, I am optimistic that we will get in

Page 45

front of a Judge soon. I am optimistic that I will get a favorable ruling. I am hopeful that we will get a favorable ruling that states language to the effect that this is not something you can do by referendum. And upon that issuance of that order, then we're in the 30-day waiting period, at which time a very frank discussion will happen between me and my opposing counsel. And I'll say, now you have an opportunity to take your head out of the lion's mouth and resolve and everybody walks and we start building.

If we go the distance and we lose our financing or we lose our contractor or we've got to rebid and it gets to be a higher price, that's not a good thing for your client. And I will just leave it at that. I think at that point, you know -- I believe, if we get what we need out of Judge Jones, this will go away. I don't see anybody -- I don't see any lawyer appealing Judge Jones's order saying this is impossible to do when it is pretty obvious it's impossible to do.

MR. FOSTER: And the reason I'm asking for a timeline is if -- I think Tom said November the 4th, I think, so 120 days runs out and October the 4th comes.

I'm just trying to look at the date and how much time from now 'til then and where that line --

MR. SMITS: So, if I had a ruling on any

Page	4	6
------	---	---

time before September 4th, arguably, right, that's why I'm saying that the hammer might have to come out after the order of the Judge if that happens sometime in September. Now, of course, if the contractor is asked, hey, will you just wait until the appellate period expires and he agrees, great, and then we're off to the races.

MR. HARDING: So, let's continue that. So, let's say you get a positive response from the Judge by the 1st of September. And when you appeal for 30 days, can then we sign the contract?

MR. SMITS: You're asking me or are you asking the bank?

MR. HARDING: Yes, I'm asking you.

MR. SMITS: Okay. For me, I would be fairly comfortable. But I would want to carve out in that contract that if this gets appealed -- sorry -- so, I would -- with the caveat, because we have this opinion of the Judge, in entering that contract, because again, to me, it's really a function of, you can take the risk right now if you wanted to. However, it's the financing that keeps popping up --

MR. HARDING: And I think if we're in a pattern for 30 days, there's no open lawsuit, right? The bank has said that they could get us something in

Page 47

two weeks. There's a confirmation letter that says, yes, and we'll close on this date and June of next year, blah, blah, but we can give you a two week commitment letter, right? So, if I was the mayor, I'd say, all right, I have a commitment letter, I have a window of no lawsuits. Would you recommend that we sign a contract at that point or would you say wait the 30 days?

MR. SMITS: I would have to say, being conservative of tax dollars, I would say, let's sign the contract and bind it with the one single caveat that if the appeal is brought or successful, then we have to revisit the whole thing. I can't -- I don't want to -- mean, let's put it this way. If I've got the order I want out of Judge Jones, I feel very strongly at that point that the Third DCA wouldn't even entertain it.

But nothing in this is a guarantee, as you all know.

MR. HARDING: Okay. So, what you're stating is that during that open window, we could sign a contract but there'd be caveats to an appeal or whatever and whether the contract - -

MR. SMITS: And expenses --

MR. HARDING: -- and whether the contractor wants to walk and say, this is too much headache for me, I'm walking, he could always do that.

	Page 48
1	MR. SMITS: Now, from my discussions and
2	Dave's, we have a very good feeling from this guy that
3	he wants to stick around. But business is business, and
4	at some point, he's going to have to you do agree,
5	Dave, right?
6	MR. TURNER: I agree.
7	MR. SMITS: Yeah, at some point, he's going
8	to have to make a business decision.
9	MR. TURNER: It's a business decision.
10	MR. SMITS: So, anyway, I believe I have all
11	the advice I need, and if you have
12	MR. HARDING: One other question.
13	MR. SMITS: Sure. That's why we're here.
14	MR. HARDING: Monroe County, Key West, I
15	assume they went through this a lot more than we have.
16	Is there any need to ask for advice from them?
17	MR. SMITS: I know for a fact that Marathon
18	does not have the type of code that we have. They don't
19	have the referendum in their code. I don't know I
20	haven't asked Islamorada, but that place is in its
21	own
22	MR. HARDING: Yea, not a good place to go.
23	But I'm thinking, who's your guy in Key West?
24	MR. SMITS: Well, it's Ron Ramsingh. And I
25	could talk to him about it. But they're pretty good.

	Page 49
1	Their referendums are kind of limited to charter stuff,
2	as I remember. I know that the height thing can be a
.3	charter issue and be a referendum to raise the height in
4	certain areas. And that kind of might be a challenge
5	because of the new flood maps. I talked to Ron about
6	this sort of off-the-cuff. I didn't get down to brass
7	tacks. But yeah, Key West has they have a referendum
8	in their code, not about this. I don't think anybody
9	has one like ours.
10	MR. HARDING: So, then, that implies your
11	comment earlier is that once the dust settles, we really
12	need to go back to our code.
13	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: It's antiquated and is
14	causing legal issues.
15	MR. SMITS: And, Mayor Trefry, I'm sorry, I
16	haven't asked much of you. Do you have any comments
17	based upon what we just discussed?
18	MAYOR TREFRY: No, not at this time.
19	Everything is very clear to me. Thank you.
20	MR. SMITS: All right. Well, I don't know.
21	Commissioner Harding, do you have anything else?
22	MR. HARDING: No, I'm all set. Thank you.
23	MS. RAMSAY-VICKREY: Tom, thank you for the
24	good questions to keep us on our cost track. I
25	appreciate it. I'm good.

	Page 50
1	MR. SMITS: Dave?
2	MR. TURNER: I'm good.
3	MR. SMITS: Okay. Then I have the advice
4	that I need concerning strategy and litigation
5	expenditures and settlement options. And therefore, I
6	will say this is now over and we can return to the
7	public meeting.
8	(THEREUPON, the closed session was concluded
9	at 10:33 a.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 51 CERTIFICATE 1 2 STATE OF FLORIDA 3 MONROE COUNTY 4 5 I, Daisy L. Amador, Reporter and Notary 6 Public for the State of Florida at Large, do hereby certify that I was authorized to and did report said 7 meeting; and that the foregoing pages, numbered 1 to 51, inclusive, are a true and complete transcription of my 8 notes of said meeting. 9 I further certify that said meeting was taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth and 10 that the taking of said meeting was commenced and completed as hereinabove set out. 11 I further certify that I am not an attorney 12 or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel connected with the 13 action, nor am I financially interested in the action. 14 The foregoing certification of this 15 transcript does not apply to any reproduction of the same by any means under the direct control and/or 16 direction of the certifying reporter. 17 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal this 7th day of September, 2023. 18 19 20 Dung L Gual 21 22 Daisy L. Amador Notary Public for the State of Florida 23 Commission No. HH175493 Expires: 10/17/2025 24 25

[& - anyway]

&	46:10,24 47:7	able 11:19 19:23	agreement
& 1:13 2:3	305-664-4675	21:1 27:19	22:10
0	2:4	absolute 16:3	agrees 46:6
	30th 36:13	absolutely 25:3	ahead 10:18
000205 1:2	33036-3614 2:4	absurd 10:12	29:23
1	33051 1:14	40:25	allow 6:19,23
1 51:7	4	accuracy 31:9	36:2
1,000.00 38:17	40,000.00. 28:1	accurate 4:8	allowed 13:9
1.00 38:20	45 43:4	action 4:3 27:11	allowing 34:22
1.00. 38:22	4th 21:8,10,15	34:22 51:13,13	alternative 4:21
10 11:23 21:24	45:21,22 46:1	actually 17:15	5:4 42:24
37:11	5	28:24 37:1 38:2	alternatives 9:4
10/17/2025		43:8	amador 51:6,22
51:24	5,000.00 5:14	adequate 8:4	ambiguous
100 13:6	31:5	administrative	10:17
10:33 1:18 50:9	51 51:7	11:2	amend 27:21
11:34 33:19	57.105 17:17	administrator	34:25 36:3
120 20:5,22 21:1	6	2:8 3:17	amended 4:20
21:5 45:22	6,000.00 5:14	adopt 37:21	27:10 42:21
14,000.00 31:17	7	adopts 44:16	amount 28:7,11
16th 1:1	700 1:13	advance 43:18	amounts 23:6
17328 51:21	7th 51:18	43:24	answer 32:20
18 1:18 39:6,16	8	advice 3:24,25	33:24,25 34:2
1st 46:10		33:22 36:23	antiquated 49:13
2	8.3 18:3	37:13 48:11,16	
20 25:9 27:7,8,8	81990 2:3	50:3	anybody 6:1 7:11 8:16 19:15
20,000 30:10	9	affect 12:25	32:8,14 35:15
20,000.00 28:16	90 13:6,7	13:24 38:4 affirmed 15:19	36:18 45:17
2023 1:2,18	9:33 1:18	16:7	49:8
51:18	a	ago 26:17 39:16	anyone's 5:20
2026 40:5	a.m. 1:18,18	agree 6:7 24:12	anyway 13:13
3	33:19 50:9	48:4,6	16:10 22:25
30 16:16,17 36:8	ability 9:8 33:4	agreed 14:24	26:17 31:6
36:11 42:6 45:6	36:15	20:21	48:10
JU.11 72.0 7J.0	3 3 1 1 2	20121	

[appeal - break]

oppost 12.16	38:9,9,19 46:1	awarded 19:21	bent 27:17
appeal 13:16		21:10	beth 2:7 3:12
14:11,14,16,21	argue 25:20		7:16
15:1,12,24	arguing 39:17	awarding 20:6	better 25:4
16:16,17,18	argument 18:1	aware 8:13	
17:7 24:16 27:7	aside 8:21	33:16 40:15	41:20
34:14 36:8,12	asked 5:4 11:1	b	bicycles 38:14
42:5,10 46:10	21:9 43:9 46:4	back 6:16,17	bid 17:6 19:21
47:12,20	48:20 49:16	7:24,25 8:3,8	20:5,7,9,18
appeal's 15:25	asking 4:21 7:4	17:10 20:24	25:17
appealed 30:8	7:4,9 10:23,24	22:19 23:9 25:6	bidders 12:21
35:13 46:17	20:20 35:2	33:17 34:16	12:22
appealing 42:15	39:12 45:20	49:12	bids 20:10
45:18	46:12,13,14	bad 26:17 35:17	bike 38:14
appeals 13:15	assigned 14:19	ballots 12:7	bill 30:14
13:15 14:11	assist 11:4	bank 22:10,13	bills 30:17,17
21:2 24:12	associated 5:13	22:22 46:13,25	31:11,15 39:7
31:15	assume 48:15	banks 14:5	bind 47:11
appearances 2:1	assuming 3:20	based 25:8	binding 6:6,8
appears 19:5	39:8	27:23 49:17	8:9,10 21:15
appease 6:20	attack 25:5	basically 4:7	bit 14:10 22:6
appellate 15:22	attacked 25:4	9:12	41:6
16:11 46:5	attorney 32:6	basis 32:1 36:5	black 35:10
apply 18:1 40:7	32:17 33:23	beach 1:6,14 2:2	blah 47:3,3,3
51:15	51:12,13	2:6 3:19	blown 28:5,5,6
appreciate	august 1:18	bear 33:1	30:5
49:25	authorized	behalf 2:2 13:22	board 39:24
approach 40:24	37:15 51:7	23:4	bond 16:4 22:15
appropriate	available 7:6	believe 4:8,15	23:1 41:17,20
9:23 35:6 37:25	8:22 33:16	5:24 6:6,10,23	borrow 22:4,7
approve 37:19	avenue 24:20	9:19,22 10:16	bottom 28:5
approved 39:15	avenues 18:19	13:2 18:9 23:22	bound 6:24 8:1
39:16 40:19,19	average 29:8	25:11 26:19	bowling 2:3
areas 49:4	avoided 20:15	27:19 28:21	brass 49:6
arguably 15:13	award 9:8 10:7	31:3 45:15	break 34:3
20:17 24:8 38:5	19:20 36:16	48:10	
		10.10	

[briefly - complete]

	7 11 00	1 1 22 2	25 12 25 25
briefly 22:17	caused 44:23	check 32:9	27:12 37:25
bring 7:6 18:22	causing 49:14	chen 30:18,21	38:1,4 41:1
29:22 30:4	caveat 28:2	31:16	44:15 48:18,19
34:22	46:18 47:11	choice 41:20	49:8,12
bringing 24:17	caveats 47:20	42:7	codes 4:16
brings 10:10	cents 11:23	circuit 1:1,1	collateral 24:18
brought 10:11	certain 16:3	cites 9:5	collection 26:1
10:12 37:20	26:2 29:5 32:15	city 1:6 2:6,8	colony 1:6,13,14
47:12	38:3 49:4	3:17 4:3,10 6:25	2:2,6 3:19
budget 31:12	certainly 18:22	10:9 13:17,23	come 17:23,24
building 13:24	42:13	17:5 18:4 23:4	17:25 34:1
13:25 19:8	certification	25:13 29:7	38:24 46:2
23:11 45:10	51:15	38:11,21 39:15	comes 7:25 8:8
business 4:10	certify 51:7,9,12	40:18 42:7,17	25:6 30:20
6:24 10:8,8,9	certifying 51:16	claims 17:12	41:11 45:22
16:14 26:3	chain 37:23	clarify 31:14	comfortable
38:10 42:17	38:6	clear 10:18 26:5	46:16
48:3,3,8,9	challenge 4:9	49:19	coming 9:18
businesses 17:5	16:14 35:7	cleared 22:14	commenced
buying 4:14	38:10 49:4	clearer 34:4	51:10
c	challengeable	clearly 18:2	comment 49:11
	10:13 12:18,19	20:18	comments 49:16
c 51:1,1	challenged	clerk 11:5 33:18	commission 9:8
ca 1:2	27:20	client 32:17	51:23
calculated 21:7	challenges 9:8	33:23 45:14	commissioner
calendar 43:24	challenging	clients 6:14	2:7 3:14 22:9
call 9:1,17 21:20	10:7 42:17	climb 33:5	49:21
26:3	change 12:23	close 43:8 47:2	commissioners
called 16:7	37:2,23,24	closed 1:10 3:19	8:14
22:18 24:18	changing 9:13	9:1 50:8	commitment
calls 33:20	9:13 12:20	code 4:9,16,17	47:4,5
carve 46:16	16:25 26:12	6:6,25 9:20	communication
case 1:2 6:7 7:1	charges 11:23	10:17,17,18	8:12
9:10 32:1,1	charter 9:5,13	11:13 12:7,9,23	complete 51:8
cases 23:23	37:25 49:1,3	17:25 26:12	_
43:22	,		

[completed - days]

completed	consideration	copy 43:14	41:21
51:11	17:11 19:17	correct 5:1	court's 43:5
completely	26:9 38:1	19:14	courts 15:22
12:15	considered 6:11	correctly 9:7	covered 36:19
compromised	considering	correctness	36:20
29:24	25:24	17:24	crack 36:2
concerning 50:4	constitution	cost 11:12 18:4	create 29:21
concluded 50:8	41:22	25:15 26:19	cuff 49:6
conclusion	construction	27:6 28:17	curiam 15:18
10:12	23:23	31:10 49:24	1.6:7
conduct 6:5	context 7:5	costs 5:18 16:25	current 5:10
12:3,5	12:23 36:22	17:6 27:3 31:1	38:1
conducted 6:13	continue 17:2	36:21	currently 9:6
6:14	19:2 38:4,8 46:8	council 2:6	d
conducting 7:13	continues 12:25	counsel 3:18	dade 14:19
8:16	18:5	8:24 18:22 19:4	dais 37:2
confident 13:8	continuing 38:7	22:15 33:22	daisy 51:6,22
13:13 35:19,22	contract 9:9	43:14 45:8	damage 28:7,11
35:23	17:6 19:20,25	51:12,13	damages 17:6,9
confidential	19:25 20:19	counsel's 33:6,8	17:9 20:17 30:5
29:17 32:10	21:8 22:8 36:17	counted 8:7	42:8
33:23	46:11,17,19	counting 36:24	danger 19:25
confirmation	47:7,11,20,21	county 1:1	date 20:21,21
47:1	contractor	14:12 48:14	45:23 47:2
conflict 15:22	16:21 19:22	51:4	dave 2:8 16:21
conflicts 16:2	26:23 27:1 28:3	course 13:15	21:17 25:14
conform 27:12	40:9 45:12 46:4	30:4 46:4	48:5 50:1
confusing 26:14	47:23	court 1:1 3:3	dave's 48:2
connected 51:13	contracts 23:21	4:23 5:6 6:8	day 16:10 24:13
consequences	control 6:14,18	13:18 14:15,22	31:10 36:13
25:2	41:17 51:16	15:3,13,16,20	42:17,17 43:3
conservative	conversation	15:21,23,25	44:7 45:6 51:18
47:10	20:10 21:18	16:1,13 18:8	days 16:16,17
consider 7:6	conversations	21:4 24:12	20:6,22 21:1,5
18:8 25:25	19:3 32:5	35:16 39:19	21:24 36:8,11

Veritext Legal Solutions

[days - everybody]

			1
37:11 42:6	designed 9:22	44:18	easy 17:19
45:22 46:10,24	desire 19:12	dismisses 34:11	37:21,23
47:8	detailed 40:8	34:21	edit 44:11
dca 14:20,23	details 31:24	disputes 43:21	educational
15:19,20 16:6	determine 11:21	distance 45:11	37:3
16:19 35:14,14	determined	district 14:15	effect 25:15
47:16	8:14	15:23,25	29:2 45:3
dead 42:12	difference 25:22	docket 43:19	eight 33:9 43:16
dear 43:16	different 15:24	document 40:11	either 11:2
debate 7:7	27:18 44:23	documentation	elected 10:1,4
decades 26:16	differently 6:17	39:10 40:8,13	election 12:1,9
decent 42:13	dig 22:5	doing 5:9 26:13	37:8
decide 27:3	direct 51:16	29:17 33:1	elections 12:2,5
29:20,22	direction 20:24	dollar 18:3	elector 37:15
decided 10:9	51:16	43:16	email 2:5
decision 8:15	dirk 2:2 3:18	dollars 23:5	emphasize
15:24,25 39:13	discovery 43:21	25:18 33:9	32:17
48:8,9	discretion 4:17	47:10	employee 51:13
declaratory	discretionary	doubt 14:6	entering 46:19
27:10,22,24	16:2	doubtful 42:10	entertain 15:5
34:22	discuss 3:25	downside 19:24	16:1 17:19
declined 14:25	5:17 8:24 9:2	drafted 19:21	47:16
defend 29:7	23:3	26:16	entire 18:13
definitely 26:9	discussed 32:12	drag 17:8 19:2	equivalent
31:7 43:23,23	49:17	dragged 26:22	28:17
delay 29:25 39:9	discussion 8:25	drawings 39:15	eroded 41:12
40:7	22:19 28:13	drive 1:13	especially 23:20
delaying 40:13	29:6 42:4 45:7	dsmits 2:5	esquire 2:2
delegate 10:1,2	discussions	dust 49:11	essentially 9:9
delved 21:17	36:22 48:1	e	estimate 30:14
depending	dismiss 6:4	e 51·1 1	estoppel 24:18
34:13	34:18 42:24	,	event 11:13 18:7
depends 34:7	dismissed 16:12		everybody
design 40:19	34:4,24,24 35:3		12:25 34:2
_	35:4,20,24	Casici J.U	38:19 45:10
40:7 delaying 40:13 delegate 10:1,2 delved 21:17 depending 34:13 depends 34:7	22:19 28:13 29:6 42:4 45:7 discussions 36:22 48:1 dismiss 6:4 34:18 42:24 dismissed 16:12 34:4,24,24 35:3	drive 1:13 dsmits 2:5 dust 49:11	especially 23:20 esquire 2:2 essentially 9:9 estimate 30:14 estoppel 24:18 event 11:13 18: everybody 12:25 34:2

[everybody's - frankly]

arrawsh a design	extent 10:17	filed 4:2,14	focusing 12:17
everybody's 13:10 17:21	extremely 33:5	24:11 27:10,11	follow 30:11
everyone's 3:19	33:7	finality 24:14	following 3:1 27:9
4:1	f	finances 29:5	
evidence 32:3	f 51:1	financial 30:18	follows 3:2 17:3
evidentiary 5:5	face 34:11,19	financially	food 38:5
42:22	fact 3:5 9:23	51:13	foregoing 51:7
example 5:22	48:17	financials 31:16	51:15
37:19	fails 24:24 25:5	financing 13:21	forever 21:13
examples 5:23	fair 38:16	28:4 29:24 39:4	form 27:15,15
excessive 21:2	fairly 37:10	41:22 45:12	27:16,17,18,18
exclude 12:9	46:15	46:21	forth 34:17
exercise 8:3	familiar 4:1	find 24:2	51:10
expand 14:10	far 5:11,13,14	fine 7:11 38:17	forward 6:19
41:5	19:24 21:5 34:7	38:21	11:18,19 19:12
expect 37:8		fines 38:6,20	32:18
expedite 5:9	37:22 38:5,9	finish 23:19	foster 2:7 3:14
expedited 15:7	fast 42:1	firm 22:23	3:21 4:25 5:2,22
16:18 43:18	faster 13:18	28:25	7:15,18,21 9:3
expenditures	favorable 13:5	firms 28:25	9:21 10:20,23
50:5	45:2,3	first 3:19 5:16	11:1,7,11,16
expense 16:25	fdm 39:23	5:19 6:2,3 14:11	12:16 14:1,4,17
31:8	federal 40:1	16:13 27:17	21:5,14,22,25
	feedback 8:9	43:22	23:20 26:14
expenses 5:13 47:22	feel 13:7,13	five 10:9 31:5	32:22,25 34:3,9
	35:19,22,23	33:15 34:5,7,16	34:11,18 38:13
experience	38:25 47:15	34:17 37:11	41:9 42:19 44:2
28:24	feeling 48:2		44:6 45:20
expired 40:4	feels 33:3	fl 2:4	four 25:18
expires 46:6	fees 17:9,17	flood 49:5	
51:24	42:8	florida 1:1,6,14	30:16 34:15
explain 5:16	figure 33:6	2:2,3,5 15:3	fourth 15:23
11:14 35:9,11	file 4:19,19,20	39:4,25 51:3,6	frank 21:18
explained 10:21	24:13 25:14	51:23	45:6
explored 18:18	31:3,8 34:24,25	focus 30:6,7,9	frankly 22:19
26:5	36:13		
	50.15		

[freddie - headed]

0.774.05	160171010	50.0	hannoning
freddie 2:7	16:8 17:10,13	50:2	happening
freely 44:12	17:15 19:12	goodness 34:1	44:20
fresh 31:7	21:1,20 25:16	governance	happens 25:16
friday 1:18	25:18 26:20	40:21	37:1 46:3
frivolous 17:18	28:16 29:23	government	hard 18:11
18:9,9 25:3	32:1,18 33:10	1:10 4:10 13:17	harding 2:8
front 25:9 27:7	35:11 36:16	16:15 17:20	3:15,15 7:22 8:2
37:3 45:1	38:5,19 39:6	23:20 26:10	8:6,11 14:8,10
function 26:23	41:18,20,24	governor 24:3	14:14,21,24
46:20	45:11,16 48:22	grant 39:5,14	15:4,11,15 20:4
funding 30:15	49:12	39:20	20:20 21:7 22:5
39:9	goal 18:19	great 18:25	22:16 23:8,10
further 9:2	goes 14:12,14	40:12 46:6	23:15,25 24:4
17:13 51:9,12	16:16 23:11	greater 38:21	24:10,19,22,25
g	24:16 34:7	griffin 11:20	25:12,23 26:7
	going 3:3,24	grounds 4:7	28:14,19,23
game 29:25	5:15 7:8 12:3	group 24:11	29:2,9,13 30:1
38:16	13:5 15:21	39:14	30:11,13,20,25
general 32:20	18:23,23 21:19	guarantee 47:17	31:13,20,23
genetic 6:15	21:19 23:9 25:1	guess 7:23 11:2	33:19 37:5,7,13
getting 30:7,7	25:7 26:18	20:11 24:10	37:17 38:12,17
32:11	27:23,24 28:3,4	37:13 39:2	39:2,22,25 40:5
give 5:23 13:6	28:20 31:14,15	40:17	41:5 46:8,14,23
17:17 21:19	32:20 33:1 35:9	guy 16:22,23	47:18,23 48:12
22:13 27:8,14	35:12,20 39:12	23:2,2 48:2,23	48:14,22 49:10
36:1,14,18	40:14,20 42:10	h	49:21,22
37:19 39:3 41:3	43:1,2,6,13,25	half 28:22 43:3	haunting 41:1
44:11 47:3	44:10,10,12,13	hall 4:3 39:15	hazard 39:22
given 17:4 19:19	44:17,20,20,21		he'll 16:23 36:1
20:19 30:13	44:22 48:4,7	40:18	36:2 43:10
36:17	good 3:8 16:22	hammer 46:2 hand 51:18	head 22:11 26:4
gives 36:7	20:5 21:8,16		33:4,6,9 45:9
go 3:4 4:12 6:19	23:2 41:25 42:1	hang 20:14,25	headache 47:24
8:2 10:18 11:17	45:14 48:2,22	happen 13:15	headed 28:11
11:19 13:14	48:25 49:24,25	25:7 37:2 38:23	
14:12 15:11,19		43:25 45:7	

[heads - key]

heads 21:19	hopeful 45:2	ins 12:12,14	joey 33:16
36:24	hopefully 12:14	inside 33:4,8	jones 14:17,18
hear 10:21	17:2 42:2	instance 41:16	17:19 18:2
15:14,21	horizon 19:6	intended 9:23	24:16 25:6
heard 11:7 40:5	hour 43:3	intention 10:16	34:11,16,18
43:22	huge 23:19	interest 5:20 7:2	36:4 42:2,15
hearing 5:5,6	i	7:12 8:19 25:18	43:16,23 44:19
13:3 16:18	identify 3:5,8	interested 7:19	45:16 47:15
17:13,16 42:20	implies 49:10	51:13	jones's 45:18
42:22 43:18,19	importance	interference	joyce 11:3,4,6,7
height 49:2,3	36:4	26:3	11:20 12:2
held 3:2	important 16:20	interfering 17:5	judge 5:6 16:8
help 12:1,3,4	35:12	interpret 4:16	17:16 24:16
40:22 41:15,15	impossible	10:18	36:4 42:9,15,21
hereinabove	35:14 45:18,19	interpreting	43:1,8,10,16,22
51:10,11	improper 14:25	4:17 17:25	43:23 44:19
hereunto 51:17	inappropriate	intervention	45:1,16,18 46:3
hey 46:5	4:9	24:3	46:9,19 47:15
hh175493 51:23	inclined 25:8,10	involve 16:12	judicata 24:18
high 38:19	inclusive 51:8	irrelevant 12:15	judicial 1:1
higher 25:10	increased 27:3	22:4	jump 43:6
45:13	incur 17:8	islamorada 2:4	june 47:2
highway 2:3	incurred 17:6	48:20	jurisdiction
historical 24:22	indicated 20:14	issuance 45:5 issue 13:19	16:2,3
history 8:13	individually 7:3	22:22 29:5	k
hob 9:9 20:13	inform 31:17	42:16 49:3	k 2:3
25:17	information	issues 13:23	keep 4:22 21:13
hold 12:8 16:21	10:10 31:11,12	23:2 33:7 49:14	25:14 30:9
16:23 18:4	31:12 32:7	items 32:22	32:10,17 33:1,2
holding 32:2 holland 22:24	injunction 4:2,5	:	33:14 49:24
home 41:11	4:6,18 13:9	J	keeps 46:22
hope 16:18	27:23	jeopardize 42:6	key 1:6,13,14
41:25 44:4	inn 1:13	jim 40:24	2:2,6 3:18 48:14
71.23 77.7	input 7:3	job 21:22,23	48:23 49:7
		32:18	

[keys - make]

Irong 20-1	1	legally 13:24	logically 18:1
keys 29:1 kind 10:5 17:9	1	legislation	long 3:24 16:21
19:23 20:17	1 51:6,22	41:18	16:23 20:10,14
	lane 38:14,15	legislature 41:8	21:1 28:2,3 37:8
22:11 27:10	language 45:3	41:15	44:6
37:14 38:22	large 51:6	lender 32:4	longer 17:8
49:1,4	late 40:9,9	lender's 32:6	29:25
knew 33:8,10	laurie 1:3 37:15	letter 22:14	look 20:21
knight 22:24	law 7:1 9:10		21:21 25:21
know 3:6 8:14	10:18 12:24	43:14 47:1,4,5	29:24 40:25
10:3 12:10,21	23:24 28:25,25	letterhead 23:4	
12:22 13:5,11	36:7 38:2,19	23:5	41:2,14 45:23
13:23 16:21,24	42:23	level 14:25	looked 18:11
17:1,4,18,19,23	law.com 2:5	19:22 25:10	looking 18:19
18:3,10,23 19:1	lawn 4:13,14	lie 23:7	27:25
19:7,16 20:17	laws 8:13	likelihood 25:25	looks 34:4
22:8 23:3 24:2,7	lawsuit 4:1 6:4	likely 5:6	lose 45:11,12
25:19 26:10,12	17:18 30:4	limit 39:5	losing 17:6
27:1,8,25 28:15	31:10,14,19	limited 36:22	44:17
31:24 32:2	35:1 37:17	38:18 49:1	lot 8:13 9:20
33:12,13,13	40:10,14 41:21	line 28:5 32:22	13:11 33:12
36:1,2,14 37:13	46:24	43:17 45:24	40:6 41:8 43:3
37:24,24 38:24	lawsuits 47:6	lion's 45:9	48:15
40:10,24 41:3,4	lawyer 13:23	litigation 5:21	loud 32:19
41:7,14 42:3	35:17 42:11,11	26:25 40:20,21	lounge 1:13
43:15,20 44:9	45:17	50:4	love 22:11
45:15 47:17	lawyer's 31:1	litigators 35:10	m
48:17,19 49:2	lawyers 30:23	little 14:10 22:6	m 1:2
49:20	lay 34:21	22:21 34:4,15	mad 32:24
knowable 32:15	lean 25:14	41:6 43:21	madam 4:23
knowledge	leave 42:7 45:14	loan 14:2,3,5	made 6:3
29:14	leaves 25:17	23:10 32:2	mail 12:7,12,14
known 12:2	legal 4:11 14:7	locked 21:24	mailings 12:9
knows 34:2 43:4	17:9 39:7 49:14	logical 10:12	make 3:9 8:15
	legalese 13:12	17:25	10:5,14 18:20
	10541000 13.12		24:5 26:5 29:14
			2.10 2010 23121

[make - okay]

20.15 21.2 4 7	meetings 32:12	mooney 40:24	nod 22:11
30:15 31:2,4,7 32:9 42:6,16,18	40:6	morning 33:19	nonsense 41:1
43:24 48:8	mention 16:4	mosquito 6:14	normally 13:18
	merit 22:2 34:5	6:18 41:17	notary 51:6,23
makes 10:20		mosquitoes 6:15	note 43:10
map 13:4	meritorious 16:9	motion 4:20	notes 51:8
maps 49:5		9:12 15:6 42:23	notice 19:20
marathon 48:17	mess 17:20 23:19	mouth 45:9	november 45:21
mark 14:17,18		mouth 43.9 move 13:18	number 6:21
masses 6:20	messing 17:20		27:9
material 40:9	miami 14:16,21	16:19 44:21,22	numbered 51:7
materials 17:1	michael 22:25	44:23	
matter 23:24	22:25	moves 20:22	0
24:1 27:18 31:2	million 18:3	mower 4:13,15	obligated 27:13
33:3 36:7 42:23	25:18 43:16	n	obligation 19:23
matters 25:10	millions 23:5	name 7:24	obligations
matthew 2:2	mind 37:2	22:24,25	20:19
mayor 2:6,7	minor 5:3,3	narrowly 30:6,7	obvious 23:6
3:11,11,13 8:18	minute 15:6	need 3:24 8:6	30:3 45:19
8:20 9:15 47:4	minutes 43:4	11:16 14:1	obviously 8:25
49:15,18	missed 11:9	21:23 22:3	17:12 26:8
mean 15:17	mitigation	23:10 25:21	30:23 40:8
24:3,7,23 26:1	39:13,22,25	26:12 40:15,22	ocean 1:13
29:6 34:1 41:7	40:6	42:4 44:21,22	october 21:8,11
42:11 47:14	monday 43:13	45:16 48:11,16	21:15 45:22
meaning 19:5	money 14:5	49:12 50:4	offered 39:14
means 6:12 16:7	17:21 18:4 22:4	negative 6:16	office 2:4 4:19
51:16	22:7 23:14,17	8:8 29:2	37:8
measurable	23:18 40:19	never 7:8	oh 23:9
28:7	monroe 1:1	new 49:5	oj 34:1
measure 12:24	14:12 48:14	news 26:17	okay 3:19,23
12:25	51:4	newspaper	7:7,16 8:18,21
meet 4:5 21:1	month 31:18	32:14	9:16 11:16,24
meeting 1:10	months 22:7	nice 16:22 19:1	14:4 15:4,10
3:23 7:7 50:7	23:11 25:16	nine 22:7 23:11	16:3,17 18:24
51:7,8,9,10	30:16 39:6,17	25:16	19:24 20:3

[okay - positive]

21:25 22:2,16	option 7:20 10:6	particularly	phase 34:4,19
23:15,25 27:6	options 50:5	17:7	phone 32:13
28:19 29:2	order 15:19	parties 51:12	33:24
30:13,25 35:25	16:16 35:8	pass 40:24	piece 11:24
37:16 39:20,24	44:13,13,16,18	passed 38:13	piling 39:7
41:19 42:13	45:5,18 46:3	passing 4:21	place 48:20,22
43:12 44:6	47:14	past 23:1 26:21	51:10
46:15 47:18	orders 34:16	patricia 2:6	plan 41:24
50:3	ordinance 37:23	3:11	plans 21:20
once 6:5 30:20	38:13	pattern 46:24	please 14:9 37:6
42:25 49:11	ordinances 9:13	patty 9:14	43:18
ones 37:23	original 9:11	pay 23:19	pledge 24:9
open 31:8 46:24	42:23	payment 30:23	plow 24:8
47:19	originally 4:20	pca 16:10 35:16	point 5:21 8:2
opened 31:3	overseas 2:3	pca's 35:15	12:6,21 18:17
openly 40:15	overwhelmin	penalties 38:6	19:17,21 23:8,9
opinion 4:6,11	6:18	pending 13:23	24:21,23 25:3
6:13 7:17 15:20	own 4:16 43:11	23:6	26:8,23,25
25:8 30:8,10	48:21	people 6:21 10:2	27:25 29:5,9
35:5,6,12,13	owner 20:12,13	10:10 13:11	42:4,7 45:15
36:7 42:2,3,15	oxitec 6:18	17:4 32:11 38:4	47:7,16 48:4,7
44:11 46:18	р	38:8	poke 32:22,25
opponents 5:15	pages 51:7	period 45:6 46:5	policy 9:13 10:5
opportunities	paid 5:15 30:17	person 29:8	10:8,14 37:19
9:1	31:15,17	perspective	38:3
opportunity	panels 14:19	10:15	poll 6:5,10,12
39:18 45:8	part 5:20 6:25	petition 4:5,18	6:13,16,23 7:13
opposing 18:22	11:25 12:1 29:6	5:25 9:5,5 11:22	7:23,25 8:17,19
19:4,11 33:6,8	30:14 35:18,20	15:3 27:10,11	10:24
43:14 45:7	39:4 42:25	27:14,23 39:8	popping 46:22
opposition 36:5	participate 11:8	petitioner 1:4	portion 13:21
42:24,25 43:1	particular 31:8	petitions 11:21	position 4:8
ops 36:14	31:10	ph 30:18,21	13:2 22:21 36:6
optimistic 44:25		31:16	positive 6:18
45:1	particularity 31:9		7:24 8:1,3 46:9
	31:9		

[possible - really]

possible 5:8	probably 5:14	30:19,22 31:11	r
42:1 44:14	6:1 9:11 12:3	31:11,12,17,22	r 51:1
possibly 38:23	15:18 18:16	31:23 32:1,7,8	races 46:7
38:23 43:3	22:13 26:19	32:11,19,23,23	raise 49:3
potential 14:7	28:22 29:10	37:3 50:7 51:6	ramsay 2:7 3:12
17:12 18:11,12	34:14,17 37:18	51:23	3:12,22 7:14
potentially	40:15 43:6,20	public's 17:18	15:8 17:3,14,22
13:24	procedural 4:7	publicizes 31:16	18:6,14,25
power 9:25	procedurally	purchase 4:13	19:10,14 20:2
practicality	13:8	purpose 9:24	28:8 30:2 33:24
24:1	procedure	pursuant 44:14	35:2,18,25
practicing 25:9	12:24	pursue 13:3	36:10 40:3
precious 43:5	proceedings 3:1	19:23 24:20	49:13,23
preclude 44:19	process 12:20	42:8	ramsingh 48:24
preempt 41:2	16:12 20:23	pursuing 5:21	random 14:19
prefer 32:22	34:6 39:6	push 42:1	rapid 17:1
prejudice 34:21	produce 12:7	put 22:20 29:16	rate 28:21
34:25 35:3,5,21	project 13:22	31:6 33:17	rates 25:18
president 20:11	14:7 18:4,13	47:14	rather 31:24
20:12,13	19:13,16 20:15	putting 8:21	reached 22:18
press 33:20	22:10 23:14	18:3	read 8:15 9:4,19
presumption	24:9 43:17	q	11:8 13:10
17:24	projection 22:6	question 9:3	26:15 33:15
pretty 11:5 13:4	projects 20:16	15:8 17:4 20:4	reading 9:7
23:24 36:21	proper 5:24,25	21:9,16 27:14	37:14
45:19 48:25	16:14	28:14 30:11	reaffirmed
prevail 26:21	propose 8:23	39:11 48:12	29:16
prevent 4:14	19:7 44:16	questions 7:4	really 8:9 9:25
price 16:21	proposed 44:12	37:5 39:1 49:24	13:7 18:11
45:13	44:13	quicker 44:1	19:17 21:17
prior 12:20	provides 27:13	quickly 16:19	22:13,20 23:2,2
private 33:2	provides 30:18	19:8 30:8 37:11	23:2,10 29:24
privilege 32:18	province 4:15	quite 35:17	41:11 44:20
33:23	public 4:22 7:7 13:17 29:14	quote 25:17	46:20 49:11
	13.17 23.17		

[reason - saying]

eferendums	residents 8:12	
40.1	resolution 7:12	31:13,20 34:8,9 34:20 36:8,9,10
49:1		, ,
•		36:16,17 37:3
0		37:23 38:18
		39:5 41:2,2 42:4
•		43:9 44:18 46:1
	· ·	46:21,24 47:4,5
	_	48:5 49:20
· ·	-	rises 19:22
ejected 27:22	-	risk 46:20
elationship	46:9	road 22:22
26:4	responsibility	23:18 30:16
elative 51:12	30:15	41:24
elease 44:7	restaurant 1:13	ron 48:24 49:5
elevant 11:15	return 50:6	room 32:16
21:3 26:1,10	review 18:21	round 27:9
elief 4:21 27:10	reviewed 39:14	rule 12:24 16:13
27:22,24 34:22	reviewing 43:8	ruled 5:11
emedy 25:21	43:10	rules 24:5 41:11
25:25 27:5	reviews 9:14	ruling 13:5 15:7
emember 9:7	revisit 47:13	36:5 42:9,22
49:2	rid 30:7	44:7 45:2,3,25
entals 41:9	ride 38:14	rulings 21:4
eopening 44:19	right 7:21 8:4,5	24:15
eport 51:7	8:7,7,15,18 9:10	run 11:5 29:10
-	10:1,4 11:4	32:20 33:10
-	12:25 13:15	runs 45:22
	14:13 15:4 16:8	S
51:15	17:22 19:3 20:2	sacred 32:18
equire 5:5	21:3,6,15 22:9	41:11
es 24:18	22:12 23:7,12	
esearched	23:22 25:15,23	sakes 34:1
28:11	26:7,13,20,24	sanction 18:8
eserves 24:8		saying 7:8 13:23
	30:6,16,20 31:5	18:18 19:7 22:14,21 30:4
	egard 7:12 egarding 3:25 4:3 31:1 egular 4:9 6:24 11:2 16:14 38:10 eject 27:11 ejected 27:22 elationship 26:4 elative 51:12 elease 44:7 elevant 11:15 21:3 26:1,10 elief 4:21 27:10 27:22,24 34:22 emedy 25:21 25:25 27:5 emember 9:7 49:2 entals 41:9 eopening 44:19 eport 51:7 eporter 3:3 4:23,24 51:6,16 eproduction 51:15 equire 5:5 es 24:18 esearched 28:11	resord 7:12 resolve 6:1 19:8 4:3 31:1 resolve 6:1 19:8 45:9 resources 29:7 29:10,11 responded 4:19 respondent 1:7 response 33:21 46:9 response 33:21 46:9 response 33:21 response 33:21 respondent 1:7 response 33:21 response 3:21 res

[saying - staff]

1			
36:4 44:18	segregated 31:3	signature 51:21	32:24 33:3,22
45:18 46:2	send 15:6 44:12	signatures 37:7	33:25 34:6,10
says 7:1 9:12	44:13	signed 20:6	34:13,20 35:4
11:13 15:24,25	sense 10:5,14,20	signs 3:6,9	35:22 36:1,11
16:23 20:18	42:16,18	silly 16:8 37:14	37:6,10,16,21
24:12 27:22	sent 20:5	single 47:11	38:16,18 39:20
47:1	separate 31:3	sir 30:12	39:24 40:1,23
scary 23:5	separately	situation 24:3	41:7,10 42:20
schedule 38:6	32:13	six 12:21 34:5,8	44:4,9 45:25
scope 41:13	september 46:1	skimmed 13:11	46:12,15 47:9
scott 35:10	46:4,10 51:18	smaller 43:21	47:22 48:1,7,10
scrap 19:13,16	serious 25:2	smart 23:2	48:13,17,24
seal 33:18 51:18	seriousness	smits 2:2 3:3,18	49:15,20 50:1,3
second 6:9	35:11 43:11	3:18,23 5:1,3,23	smoothly 20:22
29:13	session 1:10	7:16,19,25 8:5	solid 42:3
secretary 2:8	3:20 9:1 50:8	8:10,18,21 9:10	somebody 36:16
3:16	set 43:11 49:22	9:16,19,22	soon 5:7 45:1
section 9:5,20	51:10,11,17	10:22,25 11:4	sorry 10:19
secured 23:14	settlement 5:18	11:10,12,17	31:13 46:17
23:17,18	8:25 36:20 50:5	12:17 14:3,5,9	49:15
see 3:10 22:8	settles 29:19	14:13,15,18,23	sort 49:6
32:11,11,13,14	49:11	15:2,5,10,13,17	sought 20:15
35:7 40:20 43:6	several 4:12	17:11,15,23	42:20
44:20,21 45:17	11:6	18:7,16 19:3,11	speak 22:15
45:17	sheet 30:18	19:15 20:3,9,13	speaking 3:6,8
seeing 7:20 8:11	short 5:21	21:3,12,16,23	special 43:24
seek 15:7 16:13	shot 42:13	22:1,15,17 23:9	speculating
16:18 18:17	show 11:5	23:12,16,22	24:24
27:21 28:7,12	shred 14:6	24:1,7,14,21,23	spent 28:15
34:25 36:13	side 19:11 28:15	25:1,20,24 26:8	spoke 16:22
38:7	side's 28:17	26:16 28:10,18	spoken 6:1 11:5
seeking 7:3,12	33:4	28:21,24 29:4	13:20
seems 37:14	sign 13:22 14:1	29:12,15,20	spouting 32:12
sees 44:11	23:4,7 46:11	30:3,12,19,22	staff 10:10
	47:6,10,19	31:1,19,22,25	

[standard - think]

standard 33:25	strongly 13:2	sylvia 11:2 12:3	testimony 43:2
standards 4:6	47:15	12:4	thank 4:24 5:2
start 22:10	structure 24:22	system 13:18	25:12 49:19,22
23:14 30:4	stuff 16:9 23:5,6	t	49:23
45:10	24:3 31:25		thanks 38:12
started 22:8	33:14 38:2 49:1	t 51:1,1	thing 3:9 5:19
state 7:23 8:13	subject 38:10	table 3:4	10:8 13:20 14:7
30:21 39:4,8,12	subjected 38:8	tacks 49:7	19:18 22:12
39:13,18,19,21	success 40:12	take 24:8 25:10	29:13 30:3
39:24,25 40:18	successful 47:12	27:8 35:16	34:23 35:17
40:23 41:2,3,11	sue 27:24	38:24 41:3 44:7 45:9 46:20	38:7,22 39:2
41:15 44:15	suggest 44:12	taken 42:24	40:1,17 41:13
51:3,6,23	suggestion 6:3	51:10	45:14 47:13
statement 42:25	41:18	talk 5:20 6:2	49:2
states 45:3	supersedes 4:25	7:11 42:11,19	things 5:17
stating 36:8	supervisor 12:5	48:25	12:12 13:14
47:18	12:11	talked 22:12,17	16:4 26:1,2 27:2
stay 4:2	support 39:13	35:19 49:5	32:12,15 33:13
stays 29:19,20	40:19	talking 9:4	38:3,4 41:8
step 15:15	supposed 26:11	20:23 32:16	43:15 44:23
steps 15:11	26:11	33:14,17	think 5:11,25
39:18	supreme 15:3	talks 9:6	7:9,10 8:11,16
stick 28:3,4 48:3	15:13,16,20,21	tangentially	8:22 10:23
stop 19:1	16:1 35:16	19:19	11:18,23 13:10
strategy 5:10,17		tanked 18:13	13:16,17,20
24:11 26:17,18	13:4 18:20	tax 47:10	16:9,11 17:12
36:12,20 39:3	21:12 22:9	tell 11:14 15:6	18:1,7 19:4,15
50:4	23:24 24:5	15:17 44:10,22	22:2,24,25
straw 6:5,10,12	30:15 31:2,4,7	44:22	23:13,16,25
6:16,22 7:13,23	32:9 43:10	telling 7:10	25:1,12,13
7:25 8:17,19	48:13	ten 22:7 23:11	26:12 27:25
10:24	surprising	25:16	28:6 32:3 33:1
stretch 13:7	16:24	terms 8:22	34:6 35:15
strong 33:10	swanson 1:3	terribly 41:12	36:19 37:11,14
	31:4 37:15		37:18 38:6,16

[think - vickrey]

			1 1 1
38:22 39:3,11	times 4:13 11:6	tried 4:13 5:9	understands
40:12,14,22	43:7	true 38:25 51:8	36:4
41:25,25 42:10	timing 41:19	try 19:8 25:2	underway 9:7
43:4,22,25	today 3:9 5:17	27:21 31:6	19:9
44:19 45:15,21	told 6:8 25:7	35:16	undo 14:7
45:22 46:23	tom 2:8 3:15	trying 5:7 37:22	undoable 36:6
49:8	45:21 49:23	45:23	unfortunately
thinking 29:4	tomorrow 21:21	tubes 24:17	36:9
48:23	tool 18:21	turn 17:7,10	use 14:25
third 14:15,20	toolbox 18:21	37:10	v
14:22,23 15:19	top 26:4 35:10	turned 30:8	vacation 41:9
15:20,25 16:6	35:10	turner 2:8 3:17	validate 34:23
16:19 35:13,14	topics 3:25	9:17 20:12	validates 41:21
47:16	tortious 26:3	29:18 39:23	validation 11:24
thought 6:17	tough 3:8	48:6,9 50:2	11:25 41:17,20
13:11 24:10	track 31:8 41:13	two 5:17 12:12	validations
25:4 39:3	49:24	15:11 16:10	11:20 16:4
thousand 31:6	tracking 25:14	27:2 34:19 44:2	validity 11:22
33:9 34:5,8,15	trailers 39:7	44:4 47:1,3	39:12
threaten 18:23	40:18	type 27:15	valuable 33:5,7
three 12:22	transaction	48:18	value 34:12,19
34:14	13:1	u	various 43:21
tie 26:18	transcribe 3:4	ultimately 27:4	various 43.21 vehicle 5:24
tight 16:24	transcribed 3:7	unclear 12:8	16:14
time 4:4 5:5	transcript 33:15	under 4:8 6:6	vehicles 41:23
11:23 20:7 25:3	51:15	17:17 29:15	verbal 22:11
26:9 29:5 36:14	transcription	33:17 37:25	verify 37:7,15
39:5 40:25 42:5	51:8	51:16	37:18
42:7 43:3,5,9,24	treasurer 2:8	underlying	vernis 2:3
45:6,23 46:1	3:16	13:19 14:18	vice 2:7 3:13
49:18 51:10	trefry 2:6 3:11	understand	vickrey 2:7 3:12
timeframe 12:9	3:11 8:18,20	5:15 11:11 24:4	3:12,22 7:14
timeline 42:19	9:15 49:15,18	24:5 29:18 30:1	15:8 17:3,14,22
45:21	trial 43:2	understanding	18:6,14,25
		23:12	19:10,14 20:2
		<i>tu J • 1. Le</i>	17.10,11 20.2

[vickrey - yesterday]

	T	10.1
28:8 30:2 33:24	32:25 33:14	wins 19:6
35:2,18,25	35:4,5,5 37:24	wise 41:19
36:10 40:3	39:3 41:12	witness 51:17
49:13,23	42:21,22 43:1	words 4:11
victory 19:6	46:16 47:13,15	11:21 32:2 33:5
violate 41:22	wanted 22:19	work 26:11,12
vote 7:3,7,8 11:2	38:20 46:21	worked 22:23
36:24 38:23	wants 19:15	23:1
voted 10:4	32:8 47:24 48:3	worried 18:14
19:18	wavy 22:21	write 15:20
votes 8:7	way 5:3,3,11 7:3	16:15 43:13
voting 7:9	8:1 24:15 25:4	44:10
vr 41:2	29:16 31:21	written 9:24
vr's 41:10	43:15,17 47:14	X
vs 1:5	we've 5:9 9:11	x 31:10
w	19:12 30:15	
wait 20:25 42:6	32:12 42:24	y 49.22
46:5 47:7	45:12	yea 48:22
waiting 29:25	week 16:10	yeah 8:2 9:17
45:6	43:12 44:2 47:3	11:17 14:23
walk 20:1,7	weeks 44:5 47:1	22:5 23:25 24:4
21:10,14 26:23	weinberg 22:24	28:23 29:6,9
32:14 36:15	weinstein 22:25	30:1 34:7 41:23
37:1 42:5 47:24	went 41:17	48:7 49:7
walking 38:15	48:15	year 39:9,16
47:25	west 1:13 48:14	40:7,13 47:2
walks 27:1	48:23 49:7	years 12:2 25:9
45:10	whereof 51:17	yesterday 29:16
want 6:2,4 7:10	whims 10:2	
10:3,3,21 13:3	wide 4:17	
20:15 22:13,20	win 8:16 13:16	
24:2,5,16 26:5	19:6,12 42:10	
27:3 29:21,23	window 11:9	
30:6,6 32:9,10	12:7 47:6,19	
32:11,13,14,19		
J2.11,1J,1T,1J		